	Case 2:03-cv-03181-JCC Document 1	43 Filed 10/20/05 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	MARIO CORNEJO and FRANCESCA	
11	AVALOS, husband and wife,	
12	Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. C03-3181C
13	v.	ORDER
14	CHANNEL LENDING CO., et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Daniel Shaffer's motion for reconsideration	
17	(Dkt. No. 140) of the Court's order enforcing a settlement agreement (Dkt. No. 134). The Court made	
18	clear in its prior order that under Local Rule CR 7(b)(2), a party's failure to file an opposition to a motion	
19	"may be considered by the court as an admission that the motion has merit." Defendant Channel Lending	
20	made no response to Plaintiffs' motion to enforce the settlement agreement, and Shaffer made no	
21	allegations in his notice of Chapter 7 bankruptcy that Channel or its assets were covered by the	
22	bankruptcy estate. Shaffer has therefore failed to show "manifest error" in the prior order or "new facts	
23	or legal authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable	
24	diligence." CR 7(h)(1).	
25	//	
26	ORDER – 1	

Defendant Shaffer's motion for reconsideration is DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 20th day of October, 2005.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE